THE STATUS OF THE CALLIANASSID GENUS CALLICHIRUS STIMPSON, 1866 (CRUSTACEA: DECAPODA: THALASSINIDEA)

Raymond B. Manning and Darryl L. Felder

Abstract.—The callianassid genus Callichirus Stimpson is redefined and restricted to its type species, Callianassa major Say, 1818, and three other species.

The callianassid genus Callichirus was established by Stimpson (1866:47) with Callianassa major Say, 1818, from the southeastern United States, as its type species. Since then, Callichirus has been treated in various ways, including: (1) as a subgenus of Callianassa Leach, 1814 (e.g., by Borradaile 1903; De Man 1928a, b; Schmitt 1935; Rodrigues 1971); (2) as a distinct genus, comprising numerous species formerly assigned to Callianassa (e.g., by de Saint Laurent 1974, Le Loeuff and Intès 1974, De Saint Laurent and Le Loeuff 1979); or (3), as a synonym of Callianassa (e.g., by Biffar 1971, Poore and Griffin 1979). We disagree with all of these interpretations for reasons discussed below, and we give here characters that distinguish Callichirus from all other genera of the Callianassidae.

Part of the problem has been that most authors, at least up to de Man (1928a:30), had seen no material of Callianassa major, and that until very recently the only available illustrations of the species were photographs (Hay and Shore 1918:pl. 29, fig. 10; De Man 1928a:fig. 14c, d). De Man had examined and figured two chelipeds (1928a: fig. 14–14b), but had studied no complete specimens. The species was not well figured until specimens from Brazil were studied by S. A. Rodrigues (1971:192, figs. 1–20).

In his original account of *Callichirus*, Stimpson (1866:47) noted that the cheliped was elongate ("carpus and hand of the greater cheliped very long"), that the uropodal endopod was narrow ("very narrow, almost

styliform"), and that the telson was short and broad, with a posterior emargination. We suggest that these features and the distinctive ornamentation of the third to fifth abdominal somites (Figs. 1f, 2e, 3e) are diagnostic for the genus *Callichirus*, and will serve to distinguish its members from all other callianassids.

De Saint Laurent (1974:513) divided the family Callianassidae into two subfamilies, the Callianideinae and the Callianassinae. She assigned eight genera, including *Callichirus* Stimpson, 1866, to the Callianassinae. Refinement of diagnoses for most of these genera was attempted later by Le Loeuff and Intès (1974) and by de Saint Laurent and Le Loeuff (1979).

However, not all of the genera recognized by de Saint Laurent have been accepted by other workers. Poore (1975:205) commented on the limitations of de Saint Laurent's genera, and Poore and Griffin (1979:245) noted, "We have had some difficulty in placing some of the Australian callianassid species in the new genera erected by Saint Laurent (1973) . . . and therefore prefer not to follow her arrangement. The exception is her new genus Gourretia which forms a relatively homogeneous group of species clearly distinct from the remainder of the family." Rabalais, Holt, and Flint (1981:98) abandoned use of de Saint Laurent's genera because "the distinctions proposed . . . were not clear cut for Western Hemisphere callianassids." Williams (1984:180) commented, "According to de Saint Laurent, the




Fig. 1. Callichirus major (Say), male, tl 77 mm, Indian River, Florida (USNM 228086): a, Front; b, Third maxilliped; c, Major cheliped; d, Minor cheliped; e, Sixth abdominal somite, telson, and uropod (stippled areas on uropod show areas of dense setation). Male, tl 56 mm, Indian River, Florida (USNM 228087): f, Third to fifth abdominal somites (top is anterior).

known species from the western Atlantic formerly placed in *Callianassa* belong in *Callichirus*. The differences between *Callianassa* and *Callichirus* depend upon mouthparts and seem somewhat overlapping. For the time being, therefore, I am retaining the well-known name *Callianassa* for these species, awaiting further research which may place de Saint Laurent's system on a firmer basis."

We redefine Callichirus, as follows:

Genus Callichirus Stimpson, 1866 Callichirus Stimpson, 1866:47.

Definition.—Ocular peduncles elongate, either in precorneal region or as postcorneal spine. Major chela of adult male greatly enlarged, with 3 distal segments equal to 2 or more times middorsal carapace length. Third to fifth abdominal somites with distinctive ornamentation, visible dorsally, each somite with midlateral patch of setae. Telson much shorter than uropods, much wider than long, with medial emargination. Uropodal endopods strap-shaped, very slender, 2.5 to 4 times longer than wide, with setae most concentrated in apical patch.

Type species.—Callianassa major Say, 1818, by original designation and monotypy.

Included species. - Four, as follows:

- 1. Callichirus major (Say, 1818:238) (Fig. 1), from the southeastern United States, Gulf of Mexico, and Brazil.
- 2. Callichirus islagrande (Schmitt, 1935:5) (Fig. 2), from the northern and western Gulf of Mexico.
- 3. Callichirus seilacheri (Bott, 1955:47) (Fig. 3), from El Salvador.
- 4. Callichirus adamas (Kensley, 1974: 266), from West Africa and South Africa.

Remarks.—We believe that these four species comprise a distinctive group of species within the Callianassidae recognizable as a distinct genus, Callichirus. The

ornamentation of the third to fifth abdominal somites alone will distinguish these species from all other species assigned to *Callianassa* or other genera of the Callianassidae.

Preliminary anatomical studies of the third to fifth abdominal somites suggest that the dorsal sculpture and setation may comprise the exoskeletal components of an abdominal glandular apparatus, perhaps related to cementing of burrow walls. If so, this apparatus would represent a unique anatomical specialization among the thalassinideans. A previous study (Thompson 1972) has demonstrated that cement production is centered in a hindgut gland in Upogebia pugettensis (Dana) and in glands at the bases of mouthparts in Callianassa californiensis Dana. Additional histological studies are planned to resolve the function of these abdominal glands in Callichirus.

Our corrected definition of Callichirus also is corroborated in part by recent ecological and physiological studies. Osmoregulatory capacities and habitat preferences in C. major and C. islagrande are very similar; neither species can osmoregulate and both can tolerate limited reductions in salinity (Felder 1978). This is in striking contrast to the case in Callianassa jamaicensis Schmitt and Callianassa kraussi Stebbing, both of which are euryhaline, hyperosmotically regulate at and tolerate low salinities, withstand abrupt changes of salinity, and show marked regulation of volume (Forbes 1974, Felder 1978). But the four above species were treated as congeneric in the broadly defined Callichirus of de Saint Laurent and Le Loeuff (1979). In fact, the tendency for osmoconformation and limited tolerance of reduced salinity, characteristic of Callichirus major and Callichirus islagrande, bears the greatest similarity to those features in Callianassa californiensis, Callianassa filholi A. Milne Edwards, and Callianassa affinis Holmes (see Gross 1957, Thompson and Pritchard 1969), Felder 1978), none of which




Fig. 2. Callichirus islagrande (Schmitt), male holotype, TL 87 mm, Grand Isle, Louisiana (USNM 69369): a, Front; b, Third maxilliped; c, Major cheliped; d, Minor cheliped; e, Third to fifth abdominal somites (top is anterior); f, Sixth abdominal somite, telson, and uropod (stippled areas on uropod show areas of dense setation).




Fig. 3. Callichirus seilacheri (Bott), male holotype, tl 85 mm, Los Blancos, El Salvador (Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg no. 2184): a, Front; b, Third maxilliped; c, Right first cheliped; d, Left first cheliped; e, Third to fifth abdominal segments (top is anterior); f, Sixth abdominal segment, telson, and uropod (stippled areas on uropod show areas of dense setation). Note that both first chelipeds are of minor form.

is assignable to *Callichirus*. We believe that this evidence from the physiology of these organisms suggests an artificial and heterogeneous grouping within *Callichirus* sensu de Saint Laurent and Le Loeuff (1979).

We provide here original sketches (Figs. 1-3) of three of the species that we assign to Callichirus. We have not seen material of Callichirus adamas, but the accounts and figures of this species provided by Kensley (1974:266, figs. 1, 2), who first pointed out the resemblance between C. adamas, C. major, and C. islagrande, and by de Saint Laurent and Le Loeuff (1979:67, figs. 14f, 16a, 17a, 19f, 20e-g, 23f-i), clearly identify C. adamas as a member of Callichirus. The characteristic ornamentation of the abdomen in C. adamas is clearly shown by de Saint Laurent and Le Loeuff (1979) in their figure 17a.

Of the species assigned to or described in Callichirus by Le Loeuff and Intès (1974) and de Saint Laurent and Le Loeuff (1979), only those four listed above can be assigned to Callichirus sensu stricto. We consider all of the other species assigned to Callichirus by those authors to be members of Callianassa.

Acknowledgments

We thank Austin B. Williams, Systematics Laboratory, National Marine Fisheries Service, for reviewing this paper. M. Türkay, Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, Frankfurt-am-Main, West Germany, loaned us the holotype of *Callianassa seilacheri*. The figures were prepared by Lilly King Manning. This paper is contribution number 163 from the Smithsonian Marine Station, Fort Pierce, Florida, and contribution number 2 from the Center for Crustacean Research, University of Southwestern Louisiana.

Literature Cited

Biffar, Thomas A. 1971. The genus Callianassa (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea) in south

- Florida, with keys to the western Atlantic species.—Bulletin of Marine Science 21(3):637–715.
- Borradaile, L. A. 1903. On the classification of the Thalassinidea.—Annals and Magazine of Natural History (7)12:534-551.
- Bott, Richard. 1955. Litorale Dekapoden, ausser *Uca*. Dekapoden (Crustacea) aus El Salvador, 2.— Senckenbergiana biologica 36(1/2):45–70.
- Felder, D. L. 1978. Osmotic and ionic regulation in several western Atlantic Callianassidae (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea). – Biological Bulletin 154:409–429.
- Forbes, A. T. 1974. Osmotic and ionic regulation in Callianassa kraussi Stebbing (Crustacea: Decapoda: Thalassinidea).—Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 16:301-311.
- Gross, W. 1957. An analysis of response to osmotic stress in selected Crustacea.—Biological Bulletin 112:43-62.
- Hay, W. P., and C. A. Shore. 1918. The decapod crustaceans of Beaufort, N. C., and the surrounding region.—Bulletin of the United States Bureau of Fisheries, 35(for 1915 and 1916):369– 475, pls. 25–39.
- Kensley, Brian. 1974. The genus *Callianassa* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea) from the west coast of South Africa with a key to the South African species.—Annals of the South African Museum 62(8):265–278.
- Le Loeuff, P., and A. Intès. 1974. Les Thalassinidea (Crustacea, Decapoda) du Golfe de Guinée. Systématique-Écologie. Cahiers O.R.S.T.O.M., série Océanographie 12(1):17-69.
- De Man, J. G. 1928a. A contribution to the knowledge of twenty-two species and three varieties of the genus Callianassa Leach.—Capita Zoologica 2(6):1-56, plates 1-12.
- ——. 1928b. The Thalassinidae and Callianassidae collected by the Siboga-Expedition with some remarks on the Laomediidae. The Decapoda of the Siboga-Expedition, Part 7.—Siboga Expedition, Monographie 39a6:1–187, pls. 1–20.
- Poore, Gary C. B. 1975. Systematics and distribution of *Callianassa* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Macrura) from Port Phillip Bay, Australia, with descriptions of two new species.—Pacific Science 29(2): 197–209.
- ——, and D. J. G. Griffin. 1979. The Thalassinidea (Crustacea: Decapoda) of Australia.—Records of the Australian Museum 32(6):217-321.
- Rabalais, Nancy N., Scott A. Holt, and R. Warren Flint. 1981. Mud shrimps (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea) of the northwestern Gulf of Mexico.—Bulletin of Marine Science 31(1): 96-115.
- Rodrigues, Sergio de Almeida. 1971. Mud shrimps

- of the genus *Callianassa* Leach from the Brazilian coast.—Arquivos de Zoologia, Sao Paulo 20(3):191-223.
- De Saint Laurent, Michèle. 1974. Sur la systématique et la phylogénie des Thalassinidea: Définition des familles des Callianassidae et des Upogebiidae et diagnose de cinq genres nouveaux (Crustacea Decapoda).—Compte rendus Academie des Sciences, Paris (D)277[1973]:513—516
- ——, and P. Le Loeuff. 1979. Upogebiidae et Callianassidae. Crustacés Décapodes Thalassinidea, 1. Campagnes de la Calypso au large des cotes Atlantiques africaines (1956 et 1959)(suite), 22.—Résultats scientifiques des campagnes de la Calypso 11:29–101.
- Say, Thomas. 1818. An account of the Crustacea of the United States [Part 5].—Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 1(part 2, number 1):235–253.
- Schmitt, Waldo L. 1935. Mud shrimps of the Atlantic coast of North America.—Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 93(2):1-21, pls. 1-4.
- Stimpson, William. 1866. Descriptions of new genera and species of macrurous Crustacea from the coasts of North America.—Proceedings of the Chicago Academy of Sciences 1:46-48.

- Thompson, L. C., and A. W. Pritchard. 1969. Osmoregulatory capacities of *Callianassa* and *Upogebia* (Crustacea: Thalassinidea).—Biological Bulletin 136:114–129.
- Thompson, R. K. 1972. Functional morphology of the hind-gut gland of *Upogebia pugettensis* (Crustacea, Thalassinidea), and its role in burrow construction. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Zoology, University of California, Berkeley.
- Williams, Austin B. 1984. Shrimps, lobsters, and crabs of the Atlantic coast of the eastern United States, Maine to Florida: xviii + 550 pages. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.

(RBM) Department of Invertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 20560; (DLF) Department of Biology and Center for Crustacean Research, University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette, Louisiana 70504.